IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY NINTH DAY OF DECEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY

WRIT PETITION No: 24695 of 2004

Between:

G. Sreenivasulu, S/o G. Narayana, Hindu,
Vaddupalli Village, Atmakur (M), Ananthapur District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Revenue Divisional Officer, Anantapur, Anantapur District.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate writ order or direction more particularly one in nature of writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent issuing Notification in RC.No. D1/CS/1649/2002, dt. 16-11-2004, for inviting applications from the physically Handicapped candidates, for F.P. Shop dealer ship of Vaddupalli Village, Atmakur Mandal, Ananthapur District, violating the Guidelines for selection and appointment of F.P. Shop dealer issued by Government of Andhra Pradesh in G.O.Ms.No. 53 dated 6-10-2003, as illegal, unjust, unsustainable, unwarranted.

Counsel for the Petitioner: KOTHAPALLI RAM MOHAN CHOWDARY

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR CIVIL SUPPLIES

The Court at the admission stage made the following:

ORDER:

The petitioner was appointed as a Fair Price Shop Dealer on temporary basis. He challenges the action of the respondent in taking steps to appoint a dealer for the very shop on regular basis. It is alleged that the respondent has not followed the procedure prescribed in G.O.Ms.No.532, dated 06.10.2003. .

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Government Pleader for Civil Supplies.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner was appointed as a temporary dealer. He cannot have any right to continue as Dealer for indefinite period. Except making general allegations, the petitioner is not able to point out any specific allegation against the respondent in the matter of appointment of the dealer. At any rate, unless the petitioner is the applicant by himself, the selection process cannot be interdicted at his instance.

Hence, the writ petition is dismissed. However, the petitioner shall continue as temporary dealer till a regular dealer is appointed, unless there are any allegations of irregularities against him. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

29.12.2004

Note: Issue C.C. in one week.

(B/o)

Jsu

To

1. The Revenue Divisional Officer, Anantapur, Anantapur District.

2. 2 CCs to the G.P. for Civil Supplies, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT).

3. 2CD copies.